International Journal on Food, Agriculture, and Natural Resources

Volume 6, Issue 4, Page 36-41
ISSN: 2722-4066
http://www.fanres.org

Original Paper

Machine Learning Algorithms for Integrating IoT Sensor into a Smart Irrigation system

Alfred Thaga Kgopa[1], Baakanyang Bessie Monchusi[2]*

1) *School of Computing, University of South Africa (UNISA), South Africa.*
2) *Electrical and Mining Engineering, University of South Africa (UNISA), South Africa.*

*) *Corresponding Author: kgopa80@gmail.com*

Received: 21 September 2025; Revised: 25 November 2025; Accepted: 19 December 2025
DOI: *https://doi.org/10.46676/ij-fanres.v6i4.511*

***Abstract*— Water management is a critical challenge in agriculture, particularly for small-scale farms that face resource limitations and unpredictable environmental conditions. Smart irrigation technologies that integrate the Internet of Things (IoT) and machine learning offer significant solutions for enhancing water efficiency and boosting crop production. This study investigates the synergistic application of IoT-enabled sensors alongside machine learning methodologies, specifically Decision Trees (DT) and Support Vector Machines (SVM), to augment irrigation effectiveness. Real-time sensor data collection, featuring elements like soil moisture, temperature, and humidity, serves to direct irrigation techniques. The proposed solution utilizes supervised learning techniques to establish optimal irrigation timetable and reinforcement learning to modify decisions based on real-world performance. Preliminary findings suggest that SVM outperforms DT in reducing false positives and negatives, leading to more precise irrigation control. The study underlines the benefits of AI-driven irrigation systems, such as enhanced water conservation, higher crop yields, and increased sustainability. Furthermore, the challenges of establishing IoT-based irrigation systems, such as data security, connectivity constraints, and cost considerations, are addressed. The findings add to the literature of precision agriculture and provide useful insights for small-scale farmers who are willing to implement smart irrigation solutions. The study aimed to enhance efficient water use, strengthen food security, and support sustainable farming methods by combining IoT and AI. To get the most out of AI-powered irrigation systems, future research should focus on enhancing algorithm accuracy, expanding real-world trials, and tackling scalability challenges.**

Keywords*— *Artificial Intelligence, Internet of Things, Machine Learning, Precision Agriculture, Smart Irrigation system

I. INTRODUCTION

Water is a fundamental resource for agriculture, and its efficient management is crucial for ensuring food security and environmental sustainability [1]. With global population growth and increasing climate variability, the agricultural sector faces mounting pressure to optimize water usage while maintaining high crop yields. Traditional irrigation methodologies, including flood and furrow irrigation, frequently result in considerable water loss and operational inefficiencies, rendering them unsustainable in the context of contemporary agricultural practices [2]. Consequently, there exists an increasing demand for sophisticated water management frameworks capable of improving irrigation efficiency, especially for smallholder farmers who contend with financial limitations and resource scarcity.

The combination of Internet of Things (IoT) technology with Artificial Intelligence (AI) in precision agriculture has proven to be a realistic solution to water management challenges. IoT-based smart irrigation mechanisms employ sensor networks to continuously assess live environmental indicators like soil moisture, temperature, and humidity [3]. At this point, AI-powered algorithms can analyze this data to determine the best irrigation patterns, estimate crop water requirements, and automate irrigation procedures to reduce water waste [4]. Farmers may obtain a more precise and adaptive irrigation technique by merging IoT and AI, which will result in increased agricultural output and sustainability.

Machine Learning (ML) approaches play an important role in improving the efficiency of smart irrigation systems. Among several machine learning models, Decision Trees (DT) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) have demonstrated substantial promise in detecting irrigation needs and optimizing water application [2]. DT is extensively utilized because it is simple and interpretable, allowing farmers to simply understand decision-making rationale. However, SVM has demonstrated superior accuracy in categorizing irrigation demands by effectively minimizing false positives and false negatives [5]. Research suggests that SVM outperforms DT in predicting water requirements under dynamic environmental conditions, making it a preferred choice for precision agriculture applications [2].

Numerous studies have examined the effectiveness of AI-driven irrigation systems. The study conducted by Abate et al. [6] introduced a smart irrigation system that leverages reinforcement learning to improve the allocation of water informed by continuous input from agricultural sensors. In a related context, Singh et al [5] highlighted the importance of supervised learning in enhancing the effectiveness of irrigation, showcasing how machine learning techniques can accurately forecast ideal water amounts. Despite these developments, adopting AI-based irrigation solutions on a large scale remains challenging. Key concerns include the high cost of IoT devices, data security hazards, connectivity limits in rural locations, and the necessity for a strong infrastructure to allow continuous data transmission and processing [7].

For smallholder farmers, implementing advanced irrigation technologies presents both opportunities and significant challenges [3], [6], [8]. On one side, these innovations possess the capacity to diminish operational expenditures, augment water efficiency, and elevate agricultural productivity. On the other hand, implementation barriers such as initial investment costs, lack of technical knowledge, and system maintenance requirements can hinder widespread adoption [3], [8]. Addressing these challenges is critical to ensure that smallholder farmers can benefit from smart irrigation systems.

The present study aimed to design and implement an effective smart irrigation system that combines IoT-based sensor technologies with machine learning algorithms. It specifically assesses the effectiveness of Decision Tree and Support Vector Machine models for optimizing irrigation schedules and increasing water use efficiency. Using real-time sensor data and advanced AI algorithms, the project aims to create a realistic solution for precision irrigation in small-scale farming. Furthermore, the study analyses the constraints of adopting AI-powered irrigation systems and potential future paths for increasing their scalability and cost.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive literature review on existing smart irrigation systems, highlighting their strengths and limitations. Section 3 details the methodology, including data collection, model training, and system integration. Section 4 discusses experimental results and findings, comparing the effectiveness of different machine learning models. Finally, Section 5 outlines conclusions and future research directions, emphasizing the need for further advancements in AI-based precision agriculture.

II. Literature Review

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) in precision agriculture has significantly transformed traditional farming methods, particularly in water management through smart irrigation systems [7]. With rising concerns about water shortage and environmental sustainability, experts have investigated several methods for improving irrigation efficiency while reducing resource waste. The use of machine learning models such as Decision Trees (DT) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) has proven to be an efficient method for improving irrigation plans and assuring optimal water utilization based on real-time sensor data [9].

A. *Smart Irrigation Systems: An Overview*

Smart irrigation systems leverage IoT-based sensors to monitor soil moisture, temperature, and weather conditions in real time, adjusting water distribution accordingly [10]. These systems use modern data analytics and machine learning algorithms to estimate irrigation requirements, avoiding both over- and under-irrigation. Recent research shows that IoT-enabled irrigation systems can reduce water consumption by up to 50% while increasing crop yields [11]. However, challenges such as high initial costs, data transmission latency, and energy efficiency remain critical issues for widespread adoption [3,7, 11, 12].

B. *The Role of Machine Learning in Irrigation Optimization*

Machine learning techniques have been extensively applied to optimize irrigation schedules by analyzing historical and real-time data. Decision Tree algorithms provide interpretable models that facilitate the identification of key factors influencing irrigation needs [2]. SVM, on the other hand, has demonstrated superior accuracy in classifying irrigation status, particularly in complex agricultural environments [9]. Several research have examined the effectiveness of various machine learning models in irrigation prediction, concluding that hybrid models that include DT and SVM produce the most accurate outcomes [2],[9],[11].

C. *Sensor-Based Data Collection for Irrigation*

Sensor networks play a pivotal role in smart irrigation by continuously collecting field data on soil moisture, temperature, and humidity [13]. Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) combined with IoT platforms improve data accuracy and accessibility, allowing farmers to make more educated irrigation decisions [14]. Recent advancements in low-power sensors and edge computing have further improved the efficiency of data transmission, reducing delays in automated irrigation responses [15]. Nonetheless, issues such as sensor testing, integrity of data, and network security must be addressed to assure the systems' reliability [16].

III. Methodology

The Methodology section outlines the key steps involved in developing a smart irrigation system that integrates IoT and machine learning techniques for optimized water management in agriculture.

A. *Data Collection*

The foundation of this system is built on continuous real-time data collection from various field sensors deployed throughout the agricultural environment. These sensors capture critical environmental factors such as soil moisture, temperature, and humidity all of which directly influence irrigation requirements. The Soil Moisture Sensor senses the level of dampness in the soil used for plant and vegetation as Sensor will detect whether soil is dry or wet. This sensor is connected to the Arduino Mega controller. The Arduino Mega receives signals continuously. Soil moisture levels provide insights into the water content available to plants, while temperature and humidity data help assess evaporation rates and the overall environmental conditions affecting crop growth. Additionally, historical weather data and crop growth statistics are integrated into the system, enriching the model with long-term trends and enabling the system to make more informed predictions about irrigation needs. The humidity and Temperature Sensor senses the level of humidity near the plant and Vegetation.

B. *Model Training*

The training process begins with a supervised learning approach using labeled data. Labeled data refers to historical records where irrigation needs are already known, providing the system with clear examples of how environmental conditions correlate with irrigation levels. The model learns to recognize patterns and relationships between input variables (soil moisture, and temperature) and output (appropriate irrigation levels). Once the initial model is established, reinforcement learning is applied. This phase allows the system to continuously improve by learning from real-world irrigation outcomes. As the system gathers more data from each irrigation cycle, it adapts, optimizing its predictions to better reflect actual water requirements.

C. System Integration

After the model has been trained and fine-tuned, it is embedded into an IoT platform, enabling real-time decision-making. The platform receives sensor data instantaneously, processes it through the trained model, and makes automated adjustments to the irrigation system. This integration ensures that irrigation is applied precisely according to the current environmental conditions, minimizing water waste and optimizing crop growth. The system can also adjust in response to forecasted changes in weather, making it highly adaptive and efficient.

D. Smart Irrigation System - Decision Comparison

For each condition in the smart irrigation system, we can represent the decision rules mathematically using logical expressions based on soil moisture MMM, temperature TTT, and humidity H.

Decision Rule Representation:

Given:

- M=Soil moisture
- T=Temperature
- H=Humidity

We define threshold values for each variable, such as:

- $M_{\text{low}}, M_{\text{medium}}, M_{\text{high}}$ = thresholds for moisture levels
- $T_{\text{low}}, T_{\text{medium}}, T_{\text{high}}$ = thresholds for temperature levels
- $H_{\text{low}}, H_{\text{medium}}, H_{\text{high}}$ = thresholds for humidity levels

The decision rule for irrigation can be formulated as:

$$\text{Irrigate} = \text{if } M < M_{\text{low}} \text{ and } T < T_{\text{low}} \text{ and } H < H_{\text{low}}$$

$$\text{No Irrigation} = \text{if } M > M_{\text{high}} \text{ or } (T > T_{\text{high}} \text{ and } H < H_{\text{medium}})$$

For "Irrigate More", the system might have a rule where it recommends more irrigation when certain thresholds of moisture or other conditions are met.

E. SVM Decision Function:

The Support Vector Machine (SVM) decision function is designed to separate data points into distinct classes by maximizing the margin between them. The decision function is expressed as f(x) = w·x + b, where w represents the weight vector learned during training, x is the input feature vector containing values such as soil moisture, temperature, and humidity, and b is the bias term. During the training phase, SVM identifies the optimal hyperplane that best separates the irrigation classes using these parameters. For SVM, the decision function is based on maximizing the margin between classes and is given by:

$$f(x) = w^T x + b$$

Where:

- w is the vector of weights (determined by the training process).
- x is the input vector (features).
- b is the bias term.

If f(x)>0, the SVM classifies the instance as belonging to class 1 (“Irrigate"), and if f(x)<0, it classifies it as class 0 ("No Irrigate").

Once the model is trained, the sign of f(x) determines the final decision. If f(x) > 0, the system classifies the condition as class 1 (Irrigate), indicating that water application is necessary. Conversely, if f(x) < 0, the instance is classified as class 0 (No Irrigate). This makes SVM effective for precise irrigation classification.

F. Decision Tree Decision Function:

A decision tree makes irrigation decisions by recursively splitting the data based on specific feature values such as soil moisture, temperature, and humidity. At each node, the model evaluates a condition—for example, whether soil moisture is below a specific threshold—and routes the instance to the next branch depending on the outcome. This process continues until a terminal node (leaf) is reached, where the final decision, such as “Irrigate” or “No Irrigate,” is assigned. Each node’s decision rule is formed by comparing feature thresholds, allowing the tree to mimic human-like reasoning. This structure makes decision trees simple, interpretable, and effective for guiding irrigation actions.. Each decision is based on a series of comparisons such as:

$$\text{If } M < M_{\text{low}}, \text{ then Irrigate}$$

At each node, the decision rule is formed by checking the feature thresholds:

$$\text{If } T > T_{\text{high}}, \text{ then Irrigate More}$$

IV. Results

The comparison in Table 1 shows that the Support Vector Machine (SVM) model outperforms the Decision Tree (DT) model in almost all classification metrics.

TABLE I. SVM VS DECISION TREE - KEY METRICS COMPARISON

Metric	*SVM*	*Decision Tree*	*Difference*	*Description*
Accuracy	85.3%	82.1%	+3.2%	Overall correct predictions

Metric	SVM	Decision Tree	Difference	Description
Precision	87.2%	83.5%	+3.7%	Correct positive predictions
Recall	84.6%	81.9%	+2.7%	True positive rate
F1 Score	85.9%	82.7%	+3.2%	Harmonic mean of precision and recall
Specificity	86.1%	82.4%	+3.7%	True negative rate
ROC AUC	0.892	0.856	+0.036	Area under ROC curve
Response Time	45ms	28ms	-17ms	Average prediction time
Model Size	2.8MB	1.2MB	+1.6MB	Memory footprint

The comparison between Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Decision Tree models reveals both models' strengths and weaknesses across a range of performance and operational metrics. These metrics highlight their effectiveness in classification tasks as well as their suitability for different environments based on computational constraints.

A. Performance Metrics

SVM consistently outperforms the Decision Tree in most of the performance metrics, with the most notable differences observed in precision and specificity. SVM achieves an accuracy of 85.3%, while the Decision Tree is slightly lower at 82.1%, resulting in a 3.2% improvement for SVM. This difference indicates that SVM is better at making overall correct predictions. The precision of SVM (87.2%) is also higher than that of the Decision Tree (83.5%), with a 3.7% gap. This suggests that SVM is more accurate in correctly identifying positive predictions. Similarly, SVM has a recall rate of 84.6%, 2.7% higher than the Decision Tree's 81.9%, reflecting its superior ability to identify true positive instances.

The F1 Score, which balances precision and recall, shows a 3.2% advantage for SVM, indicating its more balanced performance. Specificity, or the true negative rate, is another area where SVM excels, with a 3.7% lead (86.1% vs. 82.4%). The ROC AUC, a metric that measures classification ability, also favors SVM with a higher score of 0.892 compared to 0.856 for the Decision Tree. This means that SVM has better discriminatory power between classes, which is particularly important in tasks where distinguishing between categories is crucial.

B. Operational Characteristics

While SVM excels in classification performance, Decision Tree shines in terms of operational characteristics. The Decision Tree model is significantly faster, with a response time of 28ms compared to 45ms for SVM, resulting in a 17ms advantage. This makes Decision Tree a more efficient option when prediction speed is critical, such as in real-time applications. However, SVM comes with a larger model size, requiring 2.8MB of storage compared to the 1.2MB required by the Decision Tree, a 1.6MB difference. This means that SVM demands more memory resources, which could be a consideration in environments with limited computational capacity.

C. Trade-offs

The key trade-off between these models lies in the balance between performance and computational requirements. SVM offers better accuracy, precision, recall, and classification ability but at the cost of higher response time and model size. On the other hand, the Decision Tree offers faster predictions and a smaller memory footprint, making it a more suitable option for scenarios where computational efficiency is paramount. The performance difference between the two models remains consistent, with SVM leading in most metrics by 2.7% to 3.7%, indicating its overall superiority in classification tasks despite its higher resource demands.

In summary, SVM is the better choice for tasks requiring higher classification accuracy and better handling of class imbalances, while the Decision Tree is more suitable for situations where speed and resource constraints are more critical.

The comparison between the SVM (Support Vector Machine) and Decision Tree models in a Smart Irrigation System is based on several test cases that evaluate the models' decision-making ability under various environmental conditions. These conditions include soil moisture, temperature, and humidity, which are key factors in determining whether irrigation is needed.

D. Model Agreement

The models agree on 4 out of 5 test cases (80% agreement), demonstrating that both SVM and the Decision Tree make similar decisions in most scenarios. The agreement is particularly strong in low and medium soil moisture conditions, where both models consistently recommend irrigation, or no irrigation based on temperature and humidity levels. However, the models differ on the last case, where the soil moisture is high, temperature is high, and humidity is medium. In this case, SVM recommends no irrigation, while the Decision Tree recommends irrigation. This difference highlights the models' distinct approaches to decision-making.

E. Decision Patterns

Both models show consistent decision-making patterns. For low soil moisture conditions, both models recommend irrigation. In cases of high soil moisture with low temperature, both models agree that no irrigation is needed. Similarly, both models are aligned for medium-range conditions, where neither model suggests irrigation unless other factors dictate a change. The main decision patterns show that both models are effective at handling typical environmental conditions.

F. Key Differences

The key difference arises in the case of high soil moisture, high temperature, and medium humidity. SVM recommends no irrigation in this scenario, suggesting that the moisture level is sufficiently high to negate the need for irrigation, even though the temperature is high. The Decision Tree, on the other hand, suggests irrigation, possibly giving more weight to the high temperature, which could increase evaporation or other water loss mechanisms. This distinction reveals that while SVM tends to prioritize moisture as the dominant factor, the Decision Tree is more responsive to temperature changes.

G. Model Characteristics

SVM tends to be more conservative in its irrigation recommendations, especially in high moisture conditions, where it focuses on moisture as the primary determinant. Conversely, the Decision Tree model gives more weight to temperature in certain borderline cases, leading to more irrigation recommendations when the temperature is high, even if moisture levels are adequate. This characteristic may make the Decision Tree more suitable for regions where temperature fluctuations have a significant impact on water loss.

H. Environmental Factor Handling

As shown in table 2, both models consider soil moisture, temperature, and humidity when making decisions. They appropriately respond to extreme conditions such as very low or high moisture levels, and their decisions reflect nuanced understanding of the environmental interplay. The models show that irrigation decisions should not be made based on a single factor but rather a combination of variables, making them both well-suited for dynamic, real-world irrigation systems.

TABLE II. SMART IRRIGATION SYSTEM - MODEL DECISION COMPARISON

Conditions	SVM Decision	Decision Tree	Agreement
Soil Moisture: Low Temperature: High Humidity: Medium	Irrigate	Irrigate	✓
Soil Moisture: Medium Temperature: Medium Humidity: Medium	No Irrigation	No Irrigation	✓
Soil Moisture: High Temperature: Low Humidity: High	No Irrigation	No Irrigation	✓
Soil Moisture: Low Temperature: Low Humidity: Low	Irrigate More	Irrigate More	✓
Soil Moisture: High Temperature: High Humidity: Medium	No Irrigation	Irrigate	✗

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The integration of machine learning algorithms in smart irrigation systems has shown promising results in optimizing water usage and improving agricultural productivity. Case studies reveal that DT and SVM can significantly improve irrigation scheduling by predicting the water requirements of crops based on real-time environmental data. For instance, a study by Monchusi et al. [11] demonstrated that the combination of IoT and machine learning improved water efficiency by 30%, compared to traditional irrigation methods. Similarly, Ramachandran et al. [13] implemented a smart irrigation system using Decision Trees and reported a 25% reduction in water consumption while maintaining crop yields.

AI-driven systems provide more precise irrigation by categorizing irrigation needs based on sensor data, ensuring that water is applied only when necessary. According to Burri et al. [17], machine learning models like SVM outperform Decision Trees in accurately classifying irrigation needs, with fewer false positives and false negatives. Moreover, machine learning systems adapt and improve over time, making them more effective as they are exposed to new data. For example, Alhasnawi et al. [18] implemented reinforcement learning in their smart irrigation system, resulting in continuous improvement in irrigation efficiency as the system learned from each irrigation cycle.

Crop monitoring, enhanced by AI, plays a crucial role in the success of these systems. AI-based systems, like those developed by Kaminozhi and Vadivel [19], can assess soil moisture, temperature, and humidity data in real time, providing valuable insights that help optimize water usage. In addition, combining machine learning with remote sensing technologies, as demonstrated by Peter et al. [12], improves the monitoring of large agricultural areas, ensuring timely and accurate irrigation decisions.

Despite these advances, challenges remain in the implementation of smart irrigation systems, especially for small-scale farmers. The high initial costs of IoT infrastructure and machine learning model development are significant barriers [11]. Furthermore, ensuring reliable connectivity in rural areas is a critical issue, as reported by Alsahlanee and Upreti [20]. While the technology holds great promise, scalability remains an obstacle in adapting these systems to various crop types and environmental conditions [20].

A comprehensive review by Sridhar et al. [21] discussed the limitations of current smart irrigation technologies, including their reliance on accurate weather forecasts, which can sometimes be inaccurate, thereby affecting irrigation scheduling. Similarly, Ahmed et al. [6] pointed out that while AI can improve water usage, it requires constant maintenance and updates to remain effective in changing climatic conditions.

Nevertheless, the integration of IoT and machine learning in smart irrigation systems offers significant benefits in terms of sustainability, water conservation, and enhanced crop yields. Future research, as noted by Chaugule and Gupta [22], will focus on overcoming these barriers by reducing system costs, improving connectivity, and developing more robust algorithms that can better adapt to diverse agricultural environments. Advances in cloud computing and edge computing, as suggested by Burri et al. [17], may provide solutions to connectivity challenges, enabling more widespread adoption of smart irrigation technologies.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study examined various AI technologies integrated into smart irrigation systems to enhance efficiency, productivity, and sustainability. The combination of IoT and machine learning presents a powerful solution for improving water management in small-scale farming. By optimizing irrigation scheduling and minimizing water waste, these technologies can significantly boost agricultural productivity and sustainability. Future research should focus on reducing costs, improving connectivity, and adapting these systems to diverse crops and environmental conditions, which will be essential for further advancing the adoption of smart irrigation in agriculture.

REFERENCES

[1] Paria, R. Das. Implementation of IoT and Machine Learning Techniques in Smart Irrigation Systems. In: IoT Sensors, ML, AI and XAI: Empowering A Smarter World. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024. p. 143-51.

[2] M. Drogkoula, K. Kokkinos, N. Samaras. A comprehensive survey of machine learning methodologies with emphasis in water resources

management. Appl Sci [Internet]. 2023;13(22):12147. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/app132212147

[3] J. Singh, Neeraj, P. S. Reddy, D. S. Hasan, A. T. R. Alsahlanee, N. Shaik. IoT-Enabled Automated Smart Irrigation System Incorporating Sensor Parameters. In: Doctoral Symposium on Computational Intelligence. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore; 2024. p. 33-43.

[4] E. G. Rani, C. Tukkoji, D. Anusha, M. Dhanalakshmi. Water Management for IoT-Based Smart Agriculture Using Machine Learning Algorithms. In: Intelligent Control, Robotics, and Industrial Automation: Proceedings of International Conference, RCAAI 2023. Springer Nature; 2024. Vol. 1220. p. 351.

[5] I. S. Shamsuddin, Z. Othman, N. S. Sani. Water quality index classification based on machine learning: A case from the Langat River Basin model. Water [Internet]. 2022;14(19):2939. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/w14192939

[6] S. D. Abate

[7] G. Tilahun, T. Mihret, B. Biyazen, T. Feyisa, A. Yitayew, A. Gebru, A. Van Rooyen, and G. Getachew, "Enhancing Irrigation Water Management and Malt Barley Practices for Smallholder Farmers at Barneb Irrigation Scheme, Legambo District, Ethiopia," International Journal on Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 114–118, 2025.

[8] D. Tewabe, A. Abebe, A. Enyew, A. Tsige, M. Worku, S. Getaneh, and H. Kendie, "Improve water productivity and yield through participatory approaches in small-scale irrigation schemes: A case of Shimburit irrigation schemes, Ethiopia," International Journal on Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 101–106, 2025.

[9] K. Boruah, R. Sarmah. SWLMIS: A Smart Farming with IoT. In: International Conference on Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2022. p. 562-70.

[10] P. Suresh, S. Koteeswaran, R. H. Aswathy. Closed-Loop Irrigation Decision Support System: An Internet of Things Based Closed Loop Irrigation Decision Support System for Precision Agriculture Using Machine Learning. J Comput Theor Nanosci [Internet]. 2021;18(3):942-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1166/jctn.2021.9689

[11] M. Greeshma, A. Yadav, A. S. Aryaan, P. S. Deshpande, E. Konguvel. Revolutionizing Farming with IoT: Smart Irrigation System for Sustainable Agriculture. In: 2023 4th International Conference on Electronics and Sustainable Communication Systems (ICESC). IEEE; 2023. p. 420-5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICESC57686.2023.10193613

[12] M. J. Peter, R. Kalaiyarasi, V. Vijayashanthi, T. A. Mohanaprakash, D. Menaga, P. M. Suresh. IoT based Smart Irrigation System for Precision Agriculture in Greenhouse Environment. In: 2024 5th International Conference on Electronics and Sustainable Communication Systems (ICESC). IEEE; 2024. p. 411-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICESC60852.2024.10689981

[13] V. Ramachandran, R. Ramalakshmi, B. P. Kavin, I. Hussain, A. H. Almaliki, A. A. Almaliki, et al. Exploiting IoT and its enabled technologies for irrigation needs in agriculture. Water. 2022;14(5):719.

[14] R. Togneri, C. Kamienski, R. Dantas, R. Prati, A. Toscano, J. P. Soininen, et al. Advancing IoT-based smart irrigation. IEEE Internet Things Mag. 2019;2(4):20-5.

[15] A. Manjunath, D. M. KN, P. Srinivas, P. Kumar. An Integrated Paradigm for Advanced Irrigation Systems Leveraging Internet of Things (IoT). Int J Soft Comput Eng (IJSCE). 2024.

[16] G. S. Manjunath. Intelligent irrigation system using ML and IoT. Int J Res Innov Appl Sci. 2023;8(5):1-9.

[17] S. R. Burri, D. K. Agarwal, N. Vyas, R. Duggar. Optimizing Irrigation Efficiency with IoT and Machine Learning: A Transfer Learning Approach for Accurate Soil Moisture Prediction. In: 2023 World Conference on Communication & Computing (WCONF). IEEE; 2023. p. 1-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/WCONF58270.2023.10235220

[18] B. N. Alhasnawi, B. H. Jasim, B. A. Issa. Internet of things (IoT) for smart precision agriculture. Iraqi J Electr Electron Eng. 2020;16(1):28-38.

[19] Kanimozhi, R. Vadivel. Optimized water management for precision agriculture using IoT-based smart irrigation system. World J Adv Res Rev. 2024;21(3):802-11.

[20] T. R. Alsahlanee, K. Upreti. Enhanced Learning in IoT-Based Intelligent Plant Irrigation System for Optimal Growth and Water Management. In: Intelligent Systems Design and Applications: Real World Applications, Volume 5. 2024. p. 231.

[21] H. S. Sridhar, V. P. Divyashree, B. S. Keerthana, D. P. Sushmitha. Design and demonstration of IoT and machine learning based smart irrigation system. In: AIP Conference Proceedings. 2024 Jul 24 (Vol. 3111, No. 1). AIP Publishing.

[22] Chaugule, P. Gupta. Advanced Irrigation and Cultivation System Based on Machine Learning in IoT Environment. In: 2023 International Conference on Communication System, Computing and IT Applications (CSCITA). 2023 Mar 31. p. 164-169. IEEE.

[23] M. B. Rahmat, M. J. Soh. IoT Based Smart Agriculture Monitoring and Irrigation System. Evolution in Electrical and Electronic Engineering. 2024 Apr 21;5(1):581-6.